

An evaluation to assess the implementation of NHS delivered Alcohol Brief Interventions in Scotland

Dr Tessa Parkes, Douglas Eadie, Dr Iain Atherton, Dr Dennis Petrie and Dr Josie Evans INEBRIA, Barcelona, Sept 2012





Focus of presentation

- Aims of evaluation
- Data sets and methods
- Highlights of findings, focusing on effective strategies for achieving integration of ABIs in health services/public health
- Implications for mainstreaming of ABIs



Main aims of evaluation

- In what ways are ABIs being implemented at NHS Board level and at service delivery and practitioner levels?
- To what extent are ABIs being implemented?
- What can be learned from implementation of ABIs to ensure that ABIs are embedded into mainstream delivery as part of the core business of the NHS in Scotland?



Data sets and methods

Data sets	Methods/ Approach
National level key informant / health board ABI lead interviews and key documents	Qualitative
NHS Board Progress Reports	Quantitative
Case study board monitoring data from three case study health boards	Quantitative & Financial modelling
Interviews in the three case study boards at board level for all priority settings and practitioners and patients in primary care	Qualitative



Findings



Practitioner and patient views – primary care

SCHOOL OF
NURSING, MIDWIFERY
AND HEALTH

- Practitioners generally supportive of an active role in addressing alcohol related harms
 - View that primary care was a valid setting for ABIs and preventive intervention
 - Less favourable comments related to practicalities such as time constraints, the nature of contracts and compulsory training
 - The majority of GPs stated they were comfortable raising the issue of alcohol
- Most patients appeared to accept that these conversations were part of a health worker's role



Effective strategies: Delivery

- Specialist roles
- Having a history of work in this area, with lead roles and collaborative structures or relationships already in place
- Need for 'light touch' approaches to avoid heavy reliance on front line staff e.g. A&E screen and referon model
- Development of localised and 'tailored' models



Effective strategies - Reach

- Population-wide approach seen as significant to avoid stigma - 'everyone is in the target group'
- Concurrent health improvement initiatives, not focused on alcohol were viewed as excellent mechanism to 'import' ABIs into - to extend reach and facilitative adoption
- Pragmatic and opportunistic approach needed, innovation apparent in many areas



Facilitators to integration of ABIs

SCHOOL OF
NURSING, MIDWIFERY
AND HEALTH

Substantial government funding and support

Flexibility Champions and leaders at all levels Pilots

Wide ownership of the programme

Administrative support Quality and in-depth training

Active and motivated practice managers Positive attitudes

Tailored professional resources for each setting

Opportunities to share learning



Examples of barriers

Lack of lead in time Guidance coming out after target

Concurrent service re-design and competing priorities

Initial lack of skilled workforce

Delays getting key staff in place and staff turn-over

Problems accessing training and releasing staff

Time constraints for delivery – competing priorities at service delivery level

Service cultures and problems with buy-in

Data reporting and monitoring challenges

Under reporting



Mainstreaming ABIs

- All board leads wanted work to continue given extent of progress and efforts – time to 'bed-in'
- Many spoke of 'hard lessons' learned
- Specialist roles and good partnership working were essential to success
- Many other settings viewed as having potential
- Potential to integrate health improvement programmes
- Investment in training responsible for building support for ABIs at grassroots level



In summary

- Aim was to embed ABIs into routine NHS practice
 - Many successes to celebrate and rich learning
 - Substantial variation within-setting and across NHS boards
 - Cultural change takes time especially. at whole pop level
 - Key tensions e.g. national/local priorities, delivery vs. data reporting/monitoring and evaluation follow-up
 - Importance of training for the cultural change and buy-in
 - Funding and infrastructure support needs to continue to be adequately resourced to 'bed-in' developing skills and confidence
 - On-going need for follow-up of patients who receive ABIs to better determine reach, impact and outcomes





Acknowledgements



Evaluation Team

- Douglas Eadie, Oona Brooks, Stuart Bryce and Susan MacAskill from ISM
- Dr Iain Atherton, Dr Josie Evans, Stephanie Gloyn, Stephen McGhee and Bernie Stoddart from SNMH
- Dr Dennis Petrie and Homagni Choudry from School of Business, University of Dundee

Project Advisory Group

- Louise Bennie, Sarah Currie, Alison Douglas, Iain MacAllister, Donna MacKinnon and Evie McLaren from Scottish Government
- Fiona Myers, Clare Beeston, Andrew McAuley, Jane Ford, George Howie, Catriona Loots,
 Jackie Willis and Brian Orpin from NHS Health Scotland
- Clare Harper, Roz Vidler, Margaret Quinn and Paul McAleer from ISD
- Health boards, the three case study boards and the local leads and all evaluation participants
- Report reviewers: Professor Stewart Mercer, Dr Rhona McInnes and the reviewers in the three case study boards